Case 1:19-cv-09792-JLC Document 37 Filed 10/06/20 Pa

LUSPG SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: ____
DATE FILED: 10/6/2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

EVARISTO ESPINOBARROS BASURTO, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

DIALA DELI GOURMET CORP. d/b/a DIALA DELI, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

19-CV-9792 (JLC)

JAMES L. COTT, United States Magistrate Judge.

The parties in this wage-and-hour case have consented to my jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) (Dkt. No. 36) and have now submitted a joint "fairness letter" (Dkt. No. 34) and a fully executed settlement agreement (Dkt. No. 34-1) for my approval under Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015). Courts generally recognize a "strong presumption in favor of finding a settlement fair" in cases like this one brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), as they are "not in as good a position as the parties to determine the reasonableness of an FLSA settlement." Souza v. 65 St. Marks Bistro, No. 15-CV-327 (JLC), 2015 WL 7271747, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2015) (citation omitted). Moreover, as a result of defendants' financial situation during the COVID-19 pandemic, the "potential difficulty in collecting damages militates in favor of finding a settlement reasonable." Lliguichuzhca v. Cinema 60, LLC, 948 F. Supp. 2d 362, 365 (S.D.N.Y. 2013). See also Hart v. RCI Hosp. Holdings, Inc., No. 09-CV-3043 (PAE), 2015 WL 5577713, at *10 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 22, 2015) (significant "risk that plaintiffs would not

Case 1:19-cv-09792-JLC Document 37 Filed 10/06/20 Page 2 of 2

be able to collect, or fully collect, on a judgment" supported approval of settlement

agreement, which "[g]uaranteed recovery from the other two defendants in the

event that [one] prove[d] unable to pay the entire settlement amount").

Having carefully reviewed the joint fairness letter submitted by the parties

as well as the proposed settlement agreement, the Court finds that all of the terms

of the proposed settlement (including the allocation of attorneys' fees and costs)

appear to be fair and reasonable under the totality of the circumstances (and in

light of the factors enumerated in Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d 332,

335 (S.D.N.Y. 2012)).

Accordingly, the proposed settlement is hereby approved. The parties are

directed to file a fully-executed stipulation and order of dismissal with prejudice no

later than October 20, 2020, as well as dismissal of the non-appearing defendants

(as plaintiff indicated he would), otherwise the Court will simply direct the Clerk to

close this case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 6, 2020

New York, New York

VAMES L. COTT

United States Magistrate Judge

2